



May 17, 2013

Mr. Rob Burns, Director of Finance  
City of Chino  
13220 Central Avenue  
Chino, CA 91710

Dear Mr. Burns:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) letter dated April 11, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Chino Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a ROPS 13-14A to Finance on February 26, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on April 23, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific item being disputed.

- Item No. 49 – City Loan for 1998 Tax Allocation Bond Series A Arbitrage Rebates totaling \$60,000. Finance no longer denies this item and believes it is payable pursuant to HSC section 34173 (h). It is our understanding that the Agency has modified the requested amount from \$60,000 to \$51,142 to reflect the actual amount paid to the IRS. As such, Finance is allowing \$51,142 and disallowing \$8,858.

In addition, per Finance's determination letter dated April 13, 2013, the following items are not being disputed by the Agency continue to be reclassified as an administrative cost:

- Item Nos. 10 and 48 totaling \$65,000 are considered general administrative costs and have been reclassified. Although this reclassification increased administrative costs to \$157,500, the administrative cost allowance has not been exceeded.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. Obligations deemed not to be enforceable shall be removed from your ROPS. This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July through December 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied on for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS.

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$5,531,047 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount</b>	
<b>For the period of July through December 2013</b>	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 5,580,118
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 10*	12,500
Item 48*	20,000
Item 49	8,858
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 5,538,760
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	157,500
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	(165,213)
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution:</b>	<b>\$ 5,531,047</b>

\*Reclassified as administrative cost

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS%2013-14A%20Forms%20by%20Successor%20Agency/).

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Mr. Rob Burns  
May 17, 2013  
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Le, Supervisor or Brian Dunham, Lead Analyst at  
(916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Nada Repajic, Management Analyst, City of Chino  
Ms. Vanessa Doyle, Auditor Controller Manager, San Bernardino County  
California State Controller's Office