DEPARTMENT OF FINANCE

EDMUND G. BROWN JR. • GOVERNOR
915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 19, 2013

Mr. Satwant Takhar, Finance Director
City of Live Oak
9955 Live Oak Blvd.
Live Oak, CA 95953

Dear Mr. Takhar:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Live Oak Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 6, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 13-14A at this time. The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d). However, Finance notes the oversight board has approved an amount that appears excessive given the number and nature of the other obligations listed in the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the oversight board to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to apply adequate "oversight" when evaluating the administrative resources required to successfully wind-down the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is $125,000 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount For the period of July through December 2013		
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	$	-
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations		-
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost		125,000
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment		-
Total RPTTF approved for distribution:	**$**	**125,000**

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller.

Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes only the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency.

The administrative costs claimed are within the annual allowable administrative funding cap established under HSC section 34171 (d). However, Finance noted that there are only two items listed on the Agency's ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) states that oversight boards shall have fiduciary responsibilities to the taxing entities that benefit from distributions of property tax. Additionally, pursuant to 34171 (b), the oversight board has authority to reduce the Agency's administrative costs. Therefore, Finance encourages the oversight board to carefully evaluate the Agency's administrative budget to ensure the administrative costs are used efficiently and effectively to wind down the activities of the former redevelopment agency.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/.

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Bobbi Phagura, Financial Analyst, City of Live Oak
Mr. John Beaver, Tax Manager, Sutter County
California State Auditor Controller