



May 17, 2013

Mr. Andre Dupret, Project Manager
City of Maywood
4319 Slauson Avenue
Maywood, CA 90270

Dear Mr. Dupret:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 5, 2013. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Maywood Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to Finance on February 20, 2013, for the period of July through December 2013. Finance issued a ROPS determination letter on April 5, 2013. Subsequently, the Agency requested a Meet and Confer session on one or more of the items denied by Finance. The Meet and Confer session was held on May 6, 2013.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific items being disputed.

- Item No. 8 – Administrative costs due to the City of Maywood in the amount of \$165,495. Finance continues to deny this item. The Agency claims these are costs owed to the City of Maywood for administrative staff time incurred during fiscal years 2009-10 and 2010-11, and July 1, 2011 through January 31, 2012. To be funded as an enforceable obligation on a ROPS, an item must meet the definition of an enforceable obligation as defined in HSC section 34171 (d). However, this item does not meet any of the definitions in HSC section 34171 (d). Furthermore, HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that any agreements, contracts, or arrangements between the city that created the redevelopment agency (RDA) and the former RDA are not enforceable obligations. Therefore, this is not an enforceable obligation and does not qualify for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. Obligations deemed not to be enforceable shall be removed from your ROPS.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,033,639 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount	
For the period of July through December 2013	
Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations	\$ 1,075,026
Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost	
Item 8	165,495
Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations	\$ 909,531
Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost	125,000
Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment	(892)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution:	\$ 1,033,639

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the County Auditor Controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment that was self-reported by the Agency and the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment. Please refer to the worksheet used by the CAC to determine the audited prior period adjustment for the Agency:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/view.php>

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010, exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Mr. Dupret
May 17, 2013
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Evelyn Suess, Dispute Resolution Supervisor, or Danielle Brandon, Analyst, at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY
Local Government Consultant

cc: Ms. Regina Tercero, Successor Agency Finance Manager, City of Maywood
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Los Angeles County Department of Auditor-Controller
California State Controller's Office