



April 14, 2013

Ms. Sophie Escobar, Economic Development Administrator  
City of Victorville Successor Agency  
18374 Phantom West  
Victorville, CA 92394

Dear Ms. Escobar:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Victorville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 13-14A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 28, 2013 for the period of July through December 2013. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 13-14A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations:

- Item No. 30 – Tax Allocation Bonds totaling \$2,093,986. It is our understanding that only \$1,082,861 is due during the ROPS 13-14B period, representing the interest payment. HSC section 34171(d)(1)(A) allows for a reserve, when required by the bond indenture or when the next property tax allocation will be insufficient to pay all obligations due under the provisions of the bond for the next payment due in the following half of the calendar year. That is not the situation here. Therefore, Finance is adjusting the requested RPTTF by \$1,011,125 to 1,082,861 for the July 2013 through December 2013 period.
- Item No. 32 – Nuway Dry Cleaners Property Remediation in the amount of \$185,824. This line item is not an obligation of the Agency. This agreement is between the City of Victorville and a third party. The former RDA is neither a party to the agreement nor responsible for payment under the agreement. Therefore, this line item is not an enforceable obligation and not eligible for funding on the ROPS.
- Claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$31,887. HSC section 34171 (b) limits administrative expenses to three percent of property tax allocated to the successor agency or \$250,000, whichever is greater. As a result, the Agency is eligible for \$250,000 in administrative expenses. Although \$246,709 is claimed for administrative cost, Item Nos. 7 and 8, for the Foxborough Rail Project, in the amount of \$35,178, are considered administrative expenses and should be counted toward the cap. Therefore, \$31,887 of excess administrative cost is not allowed.

Except for items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 13-14A. This determination applies only to items where funding was requested for the six month period. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 13-14A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distribution for the reporting period is \$4,132,047 as summarized below:

| <b>Approved RPTTF Distribution Amount<br/>For the period of July through December 2013</b> |                     |
|--|---------------------|
| Total RPTTF funding requested for obligations  | \$ 4,956,375        |
| Minus: Six-month total for items denied or reclassified as administrative cost             |                     |
| Item 7*  | 5,178               |
| Item 8*  | 30,000              |
| Item 30  | 1,011,125           |
| Item 32  | 28,025              |
| Total approved RPTTF for enforceable obligations   | \$ 3,882,047        |
| Plus: Allowable RPTTF distribution for ROPS 13-14A administrative cost                     | 250,000             |
| Minus: ROPS II prior period adjustment   | -                   |
| <b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution:</b>  | <b>\$ 4,132,047</b> |

\*Reclassified as administrative cost

Pursuant to HSC Section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 13-14A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2012 period. HSC Section 34186 (a) also specifies that the prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the above table includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Please refer to the ROPS 13-14A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS/ROPS 13-14A Forms by Successor Agency/>.

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2013. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was

an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c)(2)(B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Susana Medina Jackson, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



STEVE SZALAY  
Local Government Consultant

cc: Mr. Keith Metzler, Assistant City Manager  
Ms. Vanessa Doyle, Auditor Controller Manager, County of San Bernardino  
California State Controller's Office