



April 1, 2014

Ms. Elizabeth Hudson, Finance Director
Town of Danville
510 La Gonda Way
Danville, CA 94526

Dear Ms. Hudson:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the Town of Danville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 25, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following does not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reasons specified:

- Item No. 6 – Cooperation Agreement between the Agency and the Town of Danville (Town) in the amount of \$8,749,336. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), loan agreements between the former redevelopment agency and sponsoring entity may be placed on the ROPS if the following requirements are met: (1) The Agency has received a Finding of Completion; and (2) The Agency's oversight board approves the loan as an enforceable obligation by finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes.

The Agency received a Finding of Completion on May 24, 2013. However, the oversight board has not approved the loan or made a finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes. Therefore, this ROPS item is not eligible for funding at this time. Once the oversight board approves the loan as an enforceable obligation by finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes and the corresponding OB action is approved by Finance, the Agency may request funding for this item on future ROPS.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in

time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

In addition, with the Agency's concurrence, adjustments were made to the Cash Balance Form based upon information provided during our review, for the following reasons and amounts:

- The Agency's financial records show the bond reserves account earned \$12 in interest income during the ROPS 13-14A period; however, this amount was omitted from the Cash Balance Form. Therefore, the total bond reserve balance was adjusted to \$374,977. This adjustment has no effect on the amount of RPTTF the Agency receives, but will affect the Agency's cash balances for the fund source involved.
- Total Other Funds for the ROPS 13-14A period has been adjusted to \$116,101 because the Agency overstated rental income received by the 115 Hartz properties. As such, the total available cash as of December 31, 2013 has been reduced to \$355,128. However, the Agency applied \$360,940 to ROPS 14-15A for Item Nos. 1 and 2, resulting in a shortage of Other Funds in the amount of \$5,812 for debt service payments. Therefore, Finance increased RPTTF funding for Item No. 1 by \$3,360 and Item No. 2 by \$2,452, for a total of \$5,812.

Except for the item denied in whole or in part as enforceable, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$424,277 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	734,265
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	84,200
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 818,465
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	734,265
<u>Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 6	(400,000)
	(400,000)
<u>Reclassified Items – From Other Funds to RPTTF</u>	
Item No. 1	3,360
Item No. 2	2,452
	5,812
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 340,077
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	84,200
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 84,200
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 424,277
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	0
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 424,277

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Michael Barr, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Robert Ewing, City Attorney, Town of Danville
Mr. Bob Campbell, Auditor-Controller, Contra Costa County
California State Controller's Office