



April 10, 2014

Ms. Lori Ann Farrell, Director of Finance  
City of Huntington Beach  
2000 Main Street  
Huntington Beach, CA 92648

Dear Ms. Farrell:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Huntington Beach Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 27, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following does not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reasons specified:

- Item No. 66 – Housing Authority Administrative Cost Allowance in the amount of \$150,000. Pursuant to HSC section 34171 (p), the housing entity administrative cost allowance is applicable only in cases where the city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency elected to not assume the housing functions. Because the housing entity to the former redevelopment agency of the City of Huntington Beach is the City-formed Housing Authority (Authority) and the Authority operates under the control of the City, the Authority is considered the City under Dissolution Law. Therefore, \$150,000 of housing entity administrative allowance is not allowed.

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency originally requested funding for Item No. 50 – Dissolution Compliance and Monitoring costs in the amount of \$315,330 from Other Funds; these costs are considered administrative expenses. Finance notes that pursuant to HSC section 34183 (a) (2) (A), debt service payments have first priority for payment from distributed RPTTF funding and other funding sources available. As such, the Agency has \$315,300 available in Other Funds.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, Finance has applied the available funds to Item No. 2 – Hyatt Regency Huntington Beach Project. Originally funded by RPTTF in the amount of \$367,251, Finance approves \$51,921 from RPTTF and \$315,330 from Other Funds, totaling \$367,251.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations or for the items that have been reclassified, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

[http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet\\_and\\_confer/](http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,525,922 as summarized below:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2014</b>	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	2,223,794
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	400,000
<b>Total RPTTF requested for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 2,623,794</b>
<b>Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations</b>	<b>2,223,794</b>
<u>Reclassified Item</u>	
Item No. 2	(315,330)
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations</b>	<b>\$ 1,908,464</b>
<b>Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations</b>	<b>400,000</b>
<u>Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 66	(150,000)
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations</b>	<b>\$ 250,000</b>
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 2,158,464</b>
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(632,542)
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution</b>	<b>\$ 1,525,922</b>

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a

Ms. Lori Ann Farrell

April 10, 2014

Page 3

Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Thomas, Supervisor or Alexander Watt, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD  
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Kellee Fritzal, Deputy Director of Economic Development, City of Huntington Beach  
Mr. Frank Davies, Property Tax Manager, Orange County  
California State Controller's Office