



April 9, 2014

Ms. Margarita Cruz, Redevelopment Manager
City of Inglewood
One Manchester Boulevard
Inglewood, CA 90301

Dear Ms. Cruz:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Inglewood Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 27, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following do not qualify as enforceable obligations for the reasons specified:

- Item Nos. 2 and 18 – Although enforceable, auditing and business support service payments totaling \$37,000 are considered general administrative costs and have been reclassified.
- Item Nos. 107, 108, 109, and 111 – Project management costs for the KP Auto Center, Madison Square Garden, Locust Street Senior Center, and Century Boulevard Reconstruction projects totaling \$1,310,000 are not enforceable obligations. These projects were denied by Finance on previous ROPS; therefore, the associated management costs related to these projects are not considered enforceable obligations and not eligible for RPTTF funding on the ROPS.
- Item No. 110 – Project management cost for Hollywood Park Redevelopment in the amount of \$400,000. The Agency requested \$35,869 for the ROP 14-15A period, but provided documentation stating only \$10,973 is required for the period. Therefore, the \$24,896 excess is not an enforceable obligation and not eligible for RPTTF funding on this ROPS.
- Item No. 113 – Housing Administrative cost allowance pursuant to AB 471 in the amount of \$75,000. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (p), the housing entity administrative cost allowance is applicable only in cases where the city, county, or city and county that authorized the creation of the redevelopment agency elected to not assume the housing functions. Because the housing entity to the former redevelopment agency of the City of

Inglewood (City) is the City-formed Housing Authority (Authority), the Authority operates under the control of the City. Therefore, \$75,000 of housing entity administrative allowance is not allowed.

- Item No. 114 – Voter Approved Pension Tax Revenues in the amount of \$2,749,202 is not an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided a City Ordinance and Resolution; however, these documents do not appear to obligate the Agency. To the extent the Agency can provide documentation to support the requested funding, the Agency may be able to obtain RPTTF funding on future ROPS.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's audit of the Agency's self-reported prior period adjustment.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$10,929,899 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	13,896,115
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	275,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 14,171,115
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	13,896,115
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 107	(23,560)
Item No. 108	(32,744)
Item No. 109	(80,900)
Item No. 110	(24,896)
Item No. 111	(30,000)
Item No. 113	(75,000)
Item No. 114	(2,749,202)
	(3,016,302)
<u>Reclassified Items</u>	
Item No. 2	(7,000)
Item No. 18	(30,000)
	(37,000)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 10,842,813
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	275,000
<u>Reclassified Items</u>	
Item No. 2	7,000
Item No. 18	30,000
	37,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 312,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 11,154,813
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(224,914)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 10,929,899

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor or Hugo Lopez, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Sharon Koike, Assistant Finance Director, City of Inglewood
Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County
California State Controller's Office