



April 15, 2014

Mr. Albert Avila, Finance Director  
City of Oakdale  
280 North Third Avenue  
Oakdale, CA 95361

Dear Mr. Avila:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of Oakdale Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Based on our review, we are approving all of the items listed on your ROPS 14-15A at this time.

HSC section 34177 (a) (3) states that only those payments listed in the ROPS may be made by the Agency, from the funds specified in the ROPS. HSC does not permit the Agency to make payments that exceed the amounts approved by Finance. On the Prior Period Adjustment worksheet, we note that the Agency's expenditures have exceeded Finance's authorization for Other Funds Balances. Therefore, Finance is increasing the Agency's authorization for the ROPS 14-15A period to ensure that authorization is consistent with expenditures for the approved enforceable obligations. The increase includes Other Funds Balances for Item No. 2 in the amount of \$96,307. This should not result in an increase in expenditures as this increased authority merely allows the Agency to reconcile actual expenditures with spending authority.

HSC sections 34177 (a) (4) and 34173 (h) provide alternative mechanisms when Agency payments exceed the amounts authorized by Finance. Please ensure the proper expenditure authority is achieved prior to making payments on enforceable obligations.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$548,433 as summarized on the next page:

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution</b>	
<b>For the period of July through December 2014</b>	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	587,771
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
<b>Total RPTTF requested for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 712,771</b>
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	587,771
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	125,000
<b>Total RPTTF authorized for obligations</b>	<b>\$ 712,771</b>
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(164,338)
<b>Total RPTTF approved for distribution</b>	<b>\$ 548,433</b>

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Jenny DeAngelis, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD  
Assistant Program Budget Manager

Mr. Albert Avila  
April 15, 2014  
Page 3

cc: Mr. Bryan Whitemyer, City Manager, City of Oakdale  
Ms. Lauren Klein, Auditor-Controller, Stanislaus County  
California State Controller's Office