



April 16, 2014

Mr. Al Savay, Community Development Director
City of San Carlos
600 Elm Street
San Carlos, CA 94070

Dear Mr. Savay:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of San Carlos Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on March 3, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, the following adjustments were made for the reasons specified:

Item Nos. 1, 2, and 3 – Various Bonds Debt Service totaling \$4,430. The Agency requests Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) funding totaling \$758,000 for these items; however, based upon our review, the adjustments are necessary to agree with the bond debt service payment schedules for the period ending September 14, 2014. Therefore, the adjustments noted below are not eligible for RPTTF funding:

- Item No. 1 – 2007 Taxable Bond in the amount of \$1,762 (\$342,000 - \$340,238)
- Item No. 2 – 2007 Refunding Bond in the amount of \$1,764 (\$365,000 - \$363,236)
- Item No. 3 – 2007 Refunding Bond in the amount of \$904 (\$51,000 - \$50,096)

During our review, which may have included obtaining financial records, Finance determined the Agency possesses funds that should be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), RPTTF may be used as a funding source, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation. The Agency provided financial records that displayed available income relating to refunds (Other Funds) totaling \$69,834.

Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified to Other Funds and in the amount specified below:

Item No. 7 – Installment Note – San Carlos Elms in the amount of \$69,834. The Agency requests \$152,700 of RPTTF; however, Finance is reclassifying \$69,834 to Other Funds. This item is an enforceable obligation for the ROPS 14-15A period. However, the obligation does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$69,834 in available Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$82,866 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$69,834, totaling \$152,700.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

Except for the items denied in whole or in part as enforceable obligations, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on your ROPS 14-15A. If you disagree with the determination with respect to any items on your ROPS 14-15A, you may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available at Finance's website below:

http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/meet_and_confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$1,005,703 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	1,013,833
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 1,138,833
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	1,013,833
<u>Denied Items</u>	
Item No. 1	(1,762)
Item No. 2	(1,764)
Item No. 3	(904)
	(4,430)
Total RPTTF for non-administrative obligations	1,009,403
<u>Cash Balances - Item reclassified to Other Funds</u>	
Item No. 7	(69,834)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 939,569
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 125,000
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 1,064,569
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(58,866)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 1,005,703

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d),

Mr. Al Savay
April 16, 2014
Page 4

HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Medy Lamorena, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in black ink, appearing to read 'JL', with a long, sweeping underline that extends to the left.

JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Tracy Kwok, Financial Services Manager, City of San Carlos
Mr. Bob Adler, Auditor-Controller, San Mateo County
California State Controller's Office