



April 8, 2014

Mr. Jim Steele, Finance Director
City of South San Francisco
P.O. Box 711
South San Francisco, CA 94083

Dear Mr. Steele:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (m), the City of South San Francisco Successor Agency (Agency) submitted a Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 14-15A) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 27, 2014 for the period of July through December 2014. Finance has completed its review of your ROPS 14-15A, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

HSC section 34171 (d) defines enforceable obligations. Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, a portion of Item No. 49 does not qualify as an enforceable obligation for the reasons specified:

Item No. 49 – Property Disposition Costs. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.5 (b), the Agency submitted a Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP) to Finance on November 21, 2013. The LRPMP contains 31 properties so it is a reasonable expectation the Agency will incur property disposition costs. Of the \$562,500 requested for Item No. 49, \$250,000 is the estimated need for environmental remediation of the Ford properties. However, if is our understanding there is no existing obligation or requirement for the Agency to perform the remediation efforts. Therefore, \$250,000 of the requested property disposition costs are denied, leaving an approved amount of \$312,500.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186 (a), successor agencies were required to report on the ROPS 14-15A form the estimated obligations and actual payments (prior period adjustments) associated with the July through December 2013 period. The amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) approved in the table below includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency. HSC section 34186 (a) also specifies prior period adjustments self-reported by successor agencies are subject to audit by the county auditor-controller (CAC) and the State Controller. Any proposed CAC adjustments were not received in time for inclusion in this letter. Therefore, the amount of RPTTF approved in the table below only includes the prior period adjustment self-reported by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,070,443 as summarized below:

Approved RPTTF Distribution	
For the period of July through December 2014	
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	5,711,445
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	171,868
Total RPTTF requested for obligations	\$ 5,883,313
Total RPTTF requested for non-administrative obligations	5,711,445
<u>Partially Denied Item</u>	
Item No. 49	(250,000)
	(250,000)
Total RPTTF authorized for non-administrative obligations	\$ 5,461,445
Total RPTTF requested for administrative obligations	171,868
Total RPTTF authorized for administrative obligations	\$ 171,868
Total RPTTF authorized for obligations	\$ 5,633,313
ROPS 13-14A prior period adjustment	(2,562,870)
Total RPTTF approved for distribution	\$ 3,070,443

Pursuant to HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E), agencies are required to use all available funding sources prior to RPTTF for payment of enforceable obligations. During the ROPS 14-15A review, Finance requested financial records to support the cash balances reported by the Agency; however, Finance was unable to reconcile the financial records to the amounts reported. As a result, Finance will continue to work with the Agency after the ROPS 14-15A review period to properly identify the Agency's cash balances. If it is determined the Agency possesses cash balances that are available to pay approved obligations, the Agency should request the use of these cash balances prior to requesting RPTTF in ROPS 14-15B.

Please refer to the ROPS 14-15A schedule that was used to calculate the approved RPTTF amount:

<http://www.dof.ca.gov/redevelopment/ROPS>

This is Finance's final determination related to the enforceable obligations reported on your ROPS for July 1 through December 31, 2014. This determination only applies to items where funding was requested for the six-month period. Finance's determination is effective for this time period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to a subsequent review and may be denied even if it was or was not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for those items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment that was available prior to enactment of ABx1 26 and AB 1484. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the

Mr. Jim Steele
April 8, 2014
Page 3

ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available to the successor agency in the RPTTF.

To the extent proceeds from bonds issued after December 31, 2010 exist and are not encumbered by an enforceable obligation pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d), HSC section 34191.4 (c) (2) (B) requires these proceeds be used to defease the bonds or to purchase those same outstanding bonds on the open market for cancellation.

Please direct inquiries to Wendy Griffe, Supervisor or Medy Lamorena, Lead Analyst at (916) 445-1546.

Sincerely,



JUSTYN HOWARD
Assistant Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Bertha Aguilar, Management Analyst, City of South San Francisco
Mr. Bob Adler, Auditor-Controller, San Mateo County
California State Controller's Office