



May 27, 2012

Daphne Hodgson, Deputy City Manager
City of Seaside
440 Harcourt Ave.
Seaside, CA 93955

Dear Ms. Hodgson:

Subject: Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule Approval Letter

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (l) (2) (C), the City Seaside (City) Successor Agency (SA) submitted Recognized Obligation Payment Schedules (ROPS) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on May 16, 2012 for periods January to June and July to December 2012. Finance is assuming oversight board approval. Finance completed its review of your ROPS, which may have included obtaining clarification for various items.

Finance is approving the items listed in your ROPS except for the following:

January through June 2012 ROPS

- Page 1, item 6 in the amount of \$500,000 is a loan with the City. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that contracts or arrangements between the city, county, or city and county that created the redevelopment agency and the former redevelopment agency are not enforceable obligations.
- Page 3, item 3 is a pass through for the Ft. Ord Reuse Authority in the amount of \$420,000. No documentation was provided to support this as an enforceable obligation of the RDA.

July through December 2012 ROPS

- Page 1, item 6 in the amount of \$500,000 is a loan with the City. HSC section 34171 (d) (2) states that contracts, or arrangements between the city, county, or city and county that created the redevelopment agency and the former redevelopment agency are not enforceable obligations.
- Page 3, item 3 is a pass through for the Ft. Ord Reuse Authority in the amount of \$500,000. No documentation was provided to support this as an enforceable obligation of the RDA.

This is our determination with respect to any items funded from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund for the June 1, 2012 property tax allocations. If your oversight board disagrees with our determination with respect to any items not funded with property tax, any future resolution of the disputed issue may be accommodated by amending the ROPS for the appropriate time period. Items not questioned during this review are subject to a subsequent review, if they are included on a future ROPS. If an item included on a future ROPS is not an enforceable obligation, Finance reserves the right to remove that item from the future ROPS, even if it was not removed from the preceding ROPS.

Ms. Hodgson
May 27, 2012
Page 2

Please refer to Exhibit 12 at http://www.dof.ca.gov/assembly_bills_26-27/view.php for the amount of Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) that was approved by Finance based on the schedule submitted.

As you are aware the amount of available RPTTF is the same as the property tax increment that was available prior to ABx1 26. This amount is not and never was an unlimited funding source. Therefore as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax is limited to the amount of funding available in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Robert Scott, Supervisor or Derk Symons, Lead Analyst at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



MARK HILL
Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Julie Aguero, Auditor Controller Analyst II, County of Monterey