



Transmitted via e-mail

May 16, 2025

Kelly Brewton, Finance Director
City of Ridgecrest
100 West California Ave
Ridgecrest, CA 93555

2025-26 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 11, 2025. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Ridgecrest Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual ROPS for the period of July 1, 2025 through June 30, 2026 (ROPS 25-26) to Finance on January 27, 2025. The Agency requested a Meet and Confer on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer was held on April 22, 2025.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed.

- Item No. 43 – 2018 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds, Series B in the amount of \$1,729,008 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) is approved. Finance no longer reclassifies \$273,115 to Other Funds. During the Meet and Confer, it was determined that the source of the Other Funds used to reclassify \$273,115 was from property sales proceeds owned by the City of Ridgecrest and inadvertently recorded as the Agency's revenue. As a result, Finance approves RPTTF in the amount of \$1,729,008, as requested.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated April 11, 2025, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer review:

- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 22-23 period. The ROPS 22-23 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 25-26 RPTTF distribution. The County Auditor-Controller did not provide its review of the Agency's PPA as required by HSC section 34186. On the ROPS 25-26 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activities for the ROPS 22-23 period. Therefore, Finance reviewed the ROPS 22-23 expenditures. The amount of RPTTF approved includes the PPA self-reported by the Agency and reviewed by Finance.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$2,587,124, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2025 through December 31, 2025 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2026 through June 30, 2026 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 25-26 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

This is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 25-26. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 25-26 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 25-26 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Kelly Brewton
May 16, 2025
Page 3

Please direct inquiries to RedevelopmentAdministration@dof.ca.gov.

Sincerely,

Original signed by:

Cheryl L. McCormick, CPA
Chief, Office of State Audits and Evaluations

cc: Monroe Roush, Consultant, City of Ridgecrest
Aimee Espinoza, County Auditor-Controller, Kern County
Amanda Ruiz, Countywide Oversight Board Representative

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2025 through June 2026			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 1,178,188	\$ 1,160,237	\$ 2,338,425
Administrative RPTTF Requested	125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested	1,303,188	1,285,237	2,588,425
RPTTF Authorized	1,178,188	1,160,237	2,338,425
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	125,000	125,000	250,000
ROPS 22-23 Prior Period Adjustment (PPA)	(1,301)	0	(1,301)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 1,301,887	\$ 1,285,237	\$ 2,587,124