

Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 26-27) - Summary
Filed for the July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2027 Period

Successor Agency: La Mesa

County: San Diego

Current Period Requested Funding for Enforceable Obligations (ROPS Detail)	26-27A Total (July - December)	26-27B Total (January - June)	ROPS 26-27 Total
A Enforceable Obligations Funded as Follows (B+C+D)	\$ -	\$ -	\$ -
B Bond Proceeds	-	-	-
C Reserve Balance	-	-	-
D Other Funds	-	-	-
E Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) (F+G)	\$ 1,181,234	\$ 33,000	\$ 1,214,234
F RPTTF	1,171,784	23,550	1,195,334
G Administrative RPTTF	9,450	9,450	18,900
H Current Period Enforceable Obligations (A+E)	\$ 1,181,234	\$ 33,000	\$ 1,214,234

Certification of Oversight Board Chairman:

Pursuant to Section 34177 (o) of the Health and Safety code, I hereby certify that the above is a true and accurate Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the above named successor agency.

Name Title

/s/ _____
Signature Date

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 26-27) - ROPS Detail
July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2027

A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H	I	J	K	L	M	N	O	P	Q	R	S	T	U	V	W
Item #	Project Name	Obligation Type	Agreement Execution Date	Agreement Termination Date	Payee	Description	Project Area	Total Outstanding Obligation	Retired	ROPS 26-27 Total	ROPS 26-27A (Jul - Dec)					26-27A Total	ROPS 26-27B (Jan - Jun)					26-27B Total
											Fund Sources						Fund Sources					
											Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF		Bond Proceeds	Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	Admin RPTTF	
								\$2,683,225		\$1,214,234	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$1,171,784	\$9,450	\$1,181,234	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$23,550	\$9,450	\$33,000
5	Police Station Site Loan Repayment per HSC 34191.4(b)	City/County Loan (Prior 06/28/11), Property transaction	11/25/2008	06/30/2040	City of La Mesa	Police Station Land Purchase -Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34191.4(b); OB Resolution No. OB 2017-04 finding loan was made for legitimate redevelopment purposes and approving repayment	Fletcher Pkwy	2,617,225	N	\$1,148,234	-	-	-	1,148,234	-	\$1,148,234	-	-	-	-	-	\$-
15	Administrative Cost Allowance	Admin Costs	07/01/2025	06/30/2026	Various	Administrative Cost Allowance under AB1X26 - See Oversight Board Resolution approving the Administrative Budget and Administrative Cost Allowance	n/a	18,900	N	\$18,900	-	-	-	-	9,450	\$9,450	-	-	-	-	9,450	\$9,450
17	Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition Lawsuit	Litigation	07/30/2013	06/30/2026	Kane, Ballmer & Berkman/ SA	Legal Defense of Affordable Housing Coalition's lawsuit- Enforceable Obligation per HSC 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F)	Central/ Fletcher/ Alvarado Creek	40,000	N	\$40,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000	-	-	-	20,000	-	\$20,000
21	Contract for Auditing	Professional Services	05/23/2016	06/30/2026	Rogers, Anderson,	Auditing Services-	Central/ Fletcher/	7,100	N	\$7,100	-	-	-	3,550	-	\$3,550	-	-	-	3,550	-	\$3,550

[illegible]

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 26-27) - Report of Cash Balances
July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024
(Report Amounts in Whole Dollars)

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 34177 (I), Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) may be listed as a source of payment on the ROPS, but only to the extent no other funding source is available or when payment from property tax revenues is required by an enforceable obligation.							
A	B	C	D	E	F	G	H
	ROPS 23-24 Cash Balances (07/01/23 - 06/30/24)	Fund Sources					Comments
		Bond Proceeds		Reserve Balance	Other Funds	RPTTF	
		Bonds issued on or before 12/31/10	Bonds issued on or after 01/01/11	Prior ROPS RPTTF and Reserve Balances retained for future period(s)	Rent, grants, interest, etc.	Non-Admin and Admin	
1	Beginning Available Cash Balance (Actual 07/01/23) RPTTF amount should exclude "A" period distribution amount.						
2	Revenue/Income (Actual 06/30/24) RPTTF amount should tie to the ROPS 23-24 total distribution from the County Auditor-Controller					647,274	G2: ROPS 23-24A/B RPTTF Distributions per DOF Determination letter March 24, 2023 and paid by County Aud/contrlr
3	Expenditures for ROPS 23-24 Enforceable Obligations (Actual 06/30/24)					613,524	G3: Expenditures per expenditure report for FY 2023-2024.
4	Retention of Available Cash Balance (Actual 06/30/24) RPTTF amount retained should only include the amounts distributed as reserve for future period(s)						
5	ROPS 23-24 RPTTF Prior Period Adjustment RPTTF amount should tie to the Agency's ROPS 23-24 PPA form submitted to the CAC		No entry required			-	
6	Ending Actual Available Cash Balance (06/30/24) C to F = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4), G = (1 + 2 - 3 - 4 - 5)	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$-	\$33,750	

La Mesa
Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS 26-27) - Notes
July 1, 2026 through June 30, 2027

Item #	Notes/Comments
5	On 12/7/17, OB approved Reso 2017-04, (i) finding per Section 34191.4(b)(1) that City's seller carryback loan provided to former RDA was for legitimate redevelopment purposes; (ii) approving the loan as an EO of SA; (iii) approving repayment of remaining principal balance of \$6M of original Purchase Price from RPTTF as an EO to be listed on successive ROPS; and (iv) authorizing SA Exec Dir to include repayment on successive ROPS until the loan is repaid in full. On 1/23/18, DOF letter approved the loan and repayment of loan on the ROPS in outstanding principal balance of \$5.3M. For ROPS 25-26, Item A-5, SA estimates the permissible RPTTF payment of \$1,148,234, calculated per Section 34191.4(b) as the 50% increase in residual RPTTF paid to ATE's in FY2012-2013 of \$2,083,973 and estimated to be paid to ATE's in current year 2025-2026 of \$4,380,440.
15	
17	Funds required for defense of the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County v. City of La Mesa as Successor Agency to La Mesa Community Redevelopment Agency et al. Litigation costs due to the filing of a lawsuit by the Affordable Housing Coalition of San Diego County against several successor agencies alleging that unmet obligations of the former RDA pursuant to the California Community Redevelopment Law constitute an enforceable obligation of the SA payable from RPTTF. Costs relating to potential and pending litigation in connection with assets or obligations constitute an enforceable obligation of the SA and shall be payable from RPTTF funds, not as an administrative cost, pursuant to HSC Section 34171(b) and 34171(d)(1)(F) of the Dissolution Law.
21	Audit fees for FY2023-2024 have not yet been invoiced as of November 24, 2026. In documenting the PPA for FY2023-2024, the \$3,350 was recaptured. The current request for fees includes \$3,550 for FY 2023-2024 audit expenses as well as current year audit expenses of \$3,550 for a total of \$7,100.