



Transmitted via e-mail

March 25, 2022

Grace E. Lee, Sr. Economic Development Specialist
City of Garden Grove
11222 Acacia Parkway
Garden Grove, CA 92840

2022-23 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Garden Grove Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 (ROPS 22-23) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 25, 2022. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 22-23.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 22 – Brookhurst Triangle Disposition and Development Agreement in the requested amount of \$6,404,640 is not allowed for funding from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF). The County Auditor-Controller (CAC) reports the Agency received an RPTTF distribution equal to the amounts Finance approved for the July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) period. Therefore, the Agency was provided sufficient funding and \$6,404,640 has been reclassified from RPTTF to Reserve Balances.
- On the ROPS 22-23 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$43,613 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 22-23. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. The item below does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 39 – 2014 Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds in the amount of \$3,851,875 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$3,808,262 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$43,613, totaling \$3,851,875.

- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$27,618. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$250,000 for fiscal year 2022-23.

Although \$277,618 is claimed for ACA, only \$250,000 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$27,618 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) Calculation	
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2021-22	\$7,697,961
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	(188,886)
RPTTF distributed for 2021-22 after adjustments	\$7,509,075
ACA Cap for 2022-23 per HSC section 34171 (b)	\$250,000
Total ACA	\$ 277,618
ACA in Excess of the Cap	(\$ 27,618)

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) period. The ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 22-23 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the CAC's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$714,292, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 22-23 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance approves the remaining items listed on the ROPS 22-23 at this time. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 22-23, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 22-23. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 22-23 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 22-23 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Garrett Fujitani, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Lisa Kim, Assistant City Manager, City of Garden Grove
Christopher Ranftl, Administrative Manager I, Property Tax Unit, Orange County

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2022 through June 2023			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 13,029,983	\$ 3,505,036	\$ 16,535,019
Administrative RPTTF Requested	138,809	138,809	277,618
Total RPTTF Requested	13,168,792	3,643,845	16,812,637
RPTTF Requested	13,029,983	3,505,036	16,535,019
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 22	(6,404,640)	0	(6,404,640)
Item No. 39	(43,613)	0	(43,613)
	(6,448,253)	0	(6,448,253)
RPTTF Authorized	6,581,730	3,505,036	10,086,766
Administrative RPTTF Requested	138,809	138,809	277,618
Excess Administrative Costs	(0)	(27,618)	(27,618)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	138,809	111,191	250,000
ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(6,720,539)	(2,901,935)	(9,622,474)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 0	\$ 714,292	\$ 714,292