



Transmitted via e-mail

April 7, 2023

Brian Mohan, Assistant City Manager/Chief Financial Officer/City Treasurer
City of Moreno Valley
14177 Frederick Street
Moreno Valley, CA 92552

2023-24 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Moreno Valley Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2023 through June 30, 2024 (ROPS 23-24) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 26, 2023. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 23-24.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 3 – Improvement Area No. 1 Special Tax Refunding Bonds. The Agency requested \$67,153 from the Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) in error. According to the debt service schedule, no amounts are due for ROPS 23-24. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payments, Finance adjusted the requested amount from \$67,153 to \$0.
- Item No. 13 – CalPERS Retirement Liability in the total outstanding amount of \$193,971 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. It is our understanding the agreement originally entered into on October 16, 1985 is between the City of Moreno Valley and the California Public Employees' Retirement System (CalPERS); the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) is not a party to the agreement. Absent a contract or agreement between the RDA and a third party, the Agency's responsibility for payment of this obligation is not legally enforceable. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and the requested amount of \$13,855 in RPTTF funding is not allowed.
- Item No. 14 – Retiree Medical Trust in the total outstanding amount of \$62,466 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. The Agency provided a Cooperation Agreement dated March 8, 2011 and multiple Oversight Board (OB) Resolutions. However, these documents do not relate to the line item and therefore, are insufficient. Absent a contract or agreement between the RDA and a third party, the Agency's responsibility for payment of this obligation is not legally enforceable. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and the requested amount of \$4,461 in RPTTF funding is not allowed.

- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) period. The ROPS 20-21 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 23-24 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$3,015,910, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2023 through December 31, 2023 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2024 through June 30, 2024 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 23-24 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance approves the remaining items listed on the ROPS 23-24 at this time. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 23-24, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 23-24. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 23-24 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 23-24 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Zuber Tejani, Supervisor, or Lynel Ford, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Launa Jimenez, Financial Resources Division Manager, City of Moreno Valley
Imelda Delos Santos, Principal Management Analyst/Countywide Oversight
Board Representative, Riverside County

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2023 through June 2024			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 1,535,607	\$ 1,517,292	\$ 3,052,899
Administrative RPTTF Requested	125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested	1,660,607	1,642,292	3,302,899
RPTTF Requested	1,535,607	1,517,292	3,052,899
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 3	(33,576)	(33,577)	(67,153)
Item No. 13	(13,855)	0	(13,855)
Item No. 14	(4,461)	0	(4,461)
	(51,892)	(33,577)	(85,469)
RPTTF Authorized	1,483,715	1,483,715	2,967,430
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	125,000	125,000	250,000
ROPS 20-21 Prior Period Adjustment (PPA)	(201,520)	0	(201,520)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 1,407,195	\$ 1,608,715	\$ 3,015,910