

May 17, 2019

Mr. Jose Gomez, Director of Administrative Services Department City of Lakewood 5050 Clark Avenue Lakewood, CA 90712

Dear Mr. Gomez:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 15, 2019. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the Lakewood Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual ROPS for the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to Finance on January 31, 2019. The Agency requested a Meet and Confer on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer was held on May 1, 2019.

Based on a review of additional information and documentation provided to Finance during the Meet and Confer process, Finance has completed its review of the specific determinations being disputed:

• Item Nos. 18, 19, and 20 – Supplemental Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund loans totaling \$3,878,059 (\$3,215,951 + \$662,108), and Educational Revenue Augmentation Fund loan in the amount of \$90,492. Finance continues to deny these items. Finance initially denied these items because the funding formula that determines the maximum payment amount, outlined in HSC section 34191.4 (b) (3) (A), equaled zero.

During the Meet and Confer, the Agency indicated the Los Angeles County Auditor-Controller (CAC) may have erred in the calculation of the 2012-13 base year and the 2018-19 comparison year residuals. It is our understanding the Agency and the CAC are working to reconcile the calculations; however, at this time, neither the CAC nor the Agency has provided any new additional information.

Currently, the amounts distributed to the taxing entities for fiscal year 2012-13 and 2018-19 are \$4,699,068 and \$3,381,602, respectively. Therefore, pursuant to the repayment formula, the maximum repayment amount authorized for the ROPS 19-20 period is still zero. As a result, the \$3,215,951, \$662,108, and \$90,492 requested from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) is not eligible for funding. The Agency may be eligible for funding on a subsequent ROPS.

 Item No. 30 – Proportionate Share of Unfunded Pension Liability in the total outstanding amount of \$567,478 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. Finance initially denied this item because the contractual obligation for the unfunded pension liability is between the California Public Employees' Retirement System and the City of Lakewood (City), and the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) is not a party to the contract. In addition, during the ROPS 17-18 review, the Agency further contended they are obligated to reimburse the City for its share of unfunded pension liability based on the Reimbursement Agreement (Agreement) between the City and the Agency dated June 25, 2002. Pursuant to HSC section 34171 (d) (2), agreements between the City and the former RDA are not considered enforceable. Therefore, the Agreement is not enforceable and the requested amount of \$567,478 is not eligible for RPTTF funding.

In addition, per Finance's letter dated April 15, 2019, we continue to make the following determinations not contested by the Agency during the Meet and Confer review:

• The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$250,000. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is zero for fiscal year 2019-20. Although \$250,000 is claimed for ACA, only zero is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$250,000 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calculation					
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2018-19	\$	288,200			
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF		(250,000)			
Less sponsoring entity loan repayments		(38,200)			
RPTTF distributed for 2018-19 after adjustments		0			
ACA Cap for 2019-20 per HSC section 34171 (b)		0			
ACA requested for 2019-20		250,000			
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(250,000)			

Further, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 4 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the CAC's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$29,709 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 4 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Mr. Jose Gomez May 17, 2019 Page 3

This is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Nichelle Jackson, Supervisor, or Michael Painter, Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

₩JENNIFER WHITAKER

Program Budget Manager

Cherry J. McComuck

cc: Ms. Edianne Rodriguez, Finance Manager, City of Lakewood

Ms. Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020					
	ROPS A Period ROPS B		ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total	
RPTTF Requested	\$	2,928,053	\$ 1,646,176	\$ 4,574,229	
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000	125,000	250,000	
Total RPTTF Requested		3,053,053	1,771,176	4,824,229	
RPTTF Requested		2,928,053	1,646,176	4,574,229	
<u>Adjustments</u>					
Item No. 18		(1,607,975)	(1,607,976)	(3,215,951)	
Item No. 19		(662,108)	0	(662,108)	
Item No. 20		(90,492)	0	(90,492)	
Item No. 30		(567,478)	0	(567,478)	
	-	(2,928,053)	(1,607,976)	(4,536,029)	
RPTTF Authorized		0	38,200	38,200	
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000	125,000	250,000	
Excess Administrative Costs		(125,000)	(125,000)	(250,000)	
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		0	0	0	
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations		0	38,200	38,200	
Prior Period Adjustment		0	(8,491)	(8,491)	
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	0	\$ 29,709	\$ 29,709	