

GAVIN NEWSOM = GOVERNOR STATE CAPITOL I ROOM 1145 SACRAMENTO CA I 95814-4998 WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 15, 2019

Ms. Jennifer Styczynski, Interim Finance Director City of Marysville 526 C Street Marysville, CA 95901

Dear Ms. Styczynski:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Marysville Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$45,072.

HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$34,928 for fiscal year 2019-20. Although \$80,000 is claimed for ACA, only \$34,928 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$45,072 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calculation							
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2018-19	\$	213,484					
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF		(1,131)					
Less sponsoring entity loan repayments		(142,498)					
RPTTF distributed for 2018-19 after adjustments		69,855					
ACA Cap for 2019-20 per HSC section 34171 (b)		34,928					
ACA requested for 2019-20	-	80,000					
Total ACA		80,000					
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(45,072)					

Because the \$80,000 requested is from Other Funds, exceeding the ACA by \$45,072 will not affect the RPTTF distribution. To the extent the Agency has excess Other Funds as a result of the ROPS 19-20 ACA, the Agency should request to use these Other Funds prior to requesting RPTTF on a future ROPS.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions; however, the County Auditor-Controller did not submit a prior period adjustment form to Finance for review. As a result, the amounts reported in the table on Page 3 resulted in no prior period adjustment. The Agency should retain any unexpended RPTTF.

Ms. Jennifer Styczynski April 15, 2019 Page 2

Except for the item adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$184,903 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 3 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Joshua Mortimer, Supervisor, or Erika Santiago, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Persephonie Riley, City Clerk, City of Marysville Mr. Richard Eberle, Auditor, Yuba County

## Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020							
	<b>ROPS A Period</b>		<b>ROPS B Period</b>		ROPS 19-20 Total		
RPTTF Requested	\$	146,183	\$	38,720	\$	184,903	
Administrative RPTTF Requested		0		0		0	
Total RPTTF Requested		146,183		38,720		184,903	
RPTTF Authorized		146,183		38,720		184,903	
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		0		0		0	
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations		146,183		38,720		184,903	
Prior Period Adjustment		0		0		0	
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	146,183	\$	38,720	\$	184,903	