

GAVIN NEWSOM - GOVERNOR

STATE CAPITOL 🖩 ROOM 1145 🛢 SACRAMENTO CA 🛢 95814-4998 🛢 www.dof.ca.gov

April 2, 2019

Mr. Marshall Eyerman, Chief Financial Officer/City Treasurer City of Moreno Valley 14177 Frederick Street Moreno Valley, CA 92552

Dear Mr. Eyerman:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Moreno Valley Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 13 CalPERS Retirement Liability in the total outstanding amount of \$193,971 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. No new documentation was provided. It is our understanding the agreement originally entered into on October 16, 1985 is between the City of Moreno Valley and California Public Employees' Retirement System; the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) is not a party to the agreement. Absent a contract or agreement between the RDA and third party, the Agency's responsibility for payment of this obligations is not legally enforceable. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and the requested amount of \$13,855 in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding is not allowed.
- Item No. 14 Retiree Medical Trust in the total outstanding amount of \$62,466 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. No new documentation was provided. The Agency provided a Cooperation Agreement dated March 8, 2011 and multiple Oversight Board (OB) Resolutions. However, these documents do not relate to the line item and therefore are insufficient. Absent a contract or agreement between the RDA and third party, the Agency's responsibility for payment of this obligations is not legally enforceable. As such, this item is not an enforceable obligation and the requested amount of \$4,461 in RPTTF funding is not allowed.
- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the OB has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Mr. Marshall Eyerman April 2, 2019 Page 2

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 3 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$5,051,680 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 3 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Sarah Krtil, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Brian Mohan, Financial Resources Division Manager, City of Moreno Valley Ms. Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020				
	ROPS A Period		ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	2,429,022	\$ 2,410,706	\$ 4,839,728
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested		2,554,022	2,535,706	5,089,728
RPTTF Requested		2,429,022	2,410,706	4,839,728
Adjustments				
Item No. 13		(13,855)	0	(13,855)
Item No. 14		(4,461)	0	(4,461)
		(18,316)	0	(18,316)
RPTTF Authorized		2,410,706	2,410,706	4,821,412
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations		2,535,706	2,535,706	5,071,412
Prior Period Adjustment		(19,732)	0	(19,732)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	2,515,974	\$ 2,535,706	\$ 5,051,680