

GAVIN NEWSOM = GOVERNOR STATE CAPITOL & ROOM 1145 & SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-4998 & WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

April 15, 2019

Ms. Veronica Tapia, Management Analyst II City of Palm Desert 73-510 Fred Waring Drive Palm Desert, CA 92260

Dear Ms. Tapia:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Palm Desert Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 17, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

 Item Nos. 59, 60, 61, 177, 178, and 179 – Various loans between the former Palm Desert Redevelopment Agency (RDA) and the City of Palm Desert (City) in the total combined outstanding amount of \$28,432,025 are not allowed. The Agency provided resolutions for four loans issued in 1986, 1995, 1997, and 1999 from both the City and the former RDA documenting the amounts appropriated to the Redevelopment Revolving Fund (Fund). According to the resolutions, moneys paid to the RDA from the Fund constituted a loan from the City to the RDA. However, a loan agreement is defined under HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) as loans of moneys entered into between the former RDA and the City under which the City transferred money to the RDA for a lawful purpose and which the RDA was obligated to repay the money it received pursuant to a required repayment schedule.

The City and RDA resolutions provided for all four loans did not exhibit clear repayment terms or a repayment schedule. Furthermore, the accounting records provided did not demonstrate there was an actual transfer of cash from the City to the RDA for two of the four loans. Therefore, these loans are not eligible for payment pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) and the requested Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding is not allowed as summarized in the table below:

ROPS Item No.	Year	Principal Loan Amount	HSC section 34191.4 (b) (2) (A) Requirements		RPTTF Not	
			Loan Terms	Transfers of Money	Allowed	
59 and 177*	1995	\$8,000,000	No	Yes	\$	0
60*	1997	\$2,055,000	No	No	\$	0
61	1986	\$6,000,000	No	No	\$7,08	5,598
178 and 179*	1999	\$6,600,000	No	Yes	\$	0

* The Agency did not request any funding on ROPS 19-20.

Ms. Veronica Tapia April 15, 2019 Page 2

- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$202.
 - HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$941,654 for fiscal year 2019-20. Although \$941,856 in Other Funds is claimed for ACA, only \$941,654 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$202 in excess ACA requested from Other Funds is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance Calcul	ation	
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2018-19 Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	\$	32,356,416 (967,937)
RPTTF distributed for 2018-19 after adjustments		31,388,479
ACA Cap for 2019-20 per HSC section 34171 (b) ACA requested for 2019-20		941,654 941,856
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$	(202)

- On the ROPS 19-20 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$27,424 in Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 19-20. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 9 Indian Springs Stipulated Agreement in the amount of \$139,674 is partially reclassified. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$112,250 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$27,424, totaling \$139,674.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 4 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$27,558,741 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 4 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Ms. Veronica Tapia April 15, 2019 Page 3

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Cindie Lor, Supervisor, or Todd Vermillion, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Ms. Janet Moore, Director of Housing, City of Palm Desert Ms. Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020							
	R	OPS A Period	ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total			
RPTTF Requested	\$	18,369,162	\$ 16,446,142	2 \$ 34,815,304			
Administrative RPTTF Requested		0	(0 0			
Total RPTTF Requested		18,369,162	16,446,142	34,815,304			
RPTTF Requested		18,369,162	16,446,142	2 34,815,304			
<u>Adjustments</u>							
Item No. 9		(27,424)	() (27,424)			
Item No. 61		(3,542,799)	(3,542,799	9) (7,085,598)			
	-	(3,570,223)	(3,542,799	9) (7,113,022)			
RPTTF Authorized		14,798,939	12,903,343	3 27,702,282			
Administrative RPTTF Authorized**		0) 0			
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations		14,798,939	12,903,343	3 27,702,282			
Prior Period Adjustment		(143,541)	() (143,541)			
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution		14,655,398	\$ 12,903,343	3 \$ 27,558,741			

** Aministrative costs of \$941,654 in Other Funds are authorized for ROPS 19-20.