



March 22, 2019

Ms. Joy Otsuki, Leibold McClendon & Mann, P.C.
City of Pismo Beach Designated Local Authority
9841 Irvine Center Drive, Suite 105
Irvine, CA 92653

Dear Ms. Otsuki:

Subject: 2019-20 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Pismo Beach Designated Local Authority Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 29, 2019. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 19-20.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

- On the ROPS 19-20 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2016 through June 30, 2017 (ROPS 16-17). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$29,796 from Reserve Balances and \$576 from Other Funds, totaling \$30,372, available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 19-20. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting Redevelopment Property Tax Fund (RPTTF). Therefore, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 15 – Lucia Mar Litigation in the amount of \$40,000 is partially reclassified from RPTTF to Reserve Balances and Other Funds. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues and the Agency has \$30,372 in available Reserve Balances and Other Funds. Therefore, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$9,628 and the use of Reserve Balances and Other Funds in the amounts of \$29,796 and \$576, totaling \$40,000.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF approved in the table on Page 3 includes the prior period adjustment resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the prior period adjustment form submitted by the Agency.

Except for the item adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 19-20. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 19-20, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

[http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/](http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/)

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$4,858 as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table on Page 3 (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1 through December 31 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1 through June 30 period (ROPS B period) based on Finance approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 19-20 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 19-20. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 19-20 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 19-20 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Joshua Mortimer, Supervisor, or Brown Moua, Lead Analyst, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,



JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Mr. Tom Murray, Chair, Designated Local Authority, City of Pismo Beach Designated Local Authority
Ms. Aaronne Kessler, Property Tax Manager, San Luis Obispo County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution For the period of July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020			
	ROPS A Period	ROPS B Period	ROPS 19-20 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 20,000	\$ 20,000	\$ 40,000
Administrative RPTTF Requested	0	0	0
Total RPTTF Requested	20,000	20,000	40,000
RPTTF Requested	20,000	20,000	40,000
<u>Adjustment</u>			
Item No. 15	(20,000)	(10,372)	(30,372)
RPTTF Authorized	0	9,628	9,628
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	0	0	0
Total RPTTF Authorized for Obligations	0	9,628	9,628
Prior Period Adjustment	0	(4,770)	(4,770)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 0	\$ 4,858	\$ 4,858