



915 L STREET ■ SACRAMENTO CA ■ 95814-3706 ■ WWW.DOF.CA.GOV

Transmitted via e-mail

April 15, 2020

Andrew T. Phillips, Chief Financial Officer/Chief Operating Officer, Civic San Diego San Diego City 401 B Street, Suite 400 San Diego, CA 92101

2020-21 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the San Diego City Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 28, 2020. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 20-21.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 208 Cash Deposit for Remediation of East Village Green East Block in the total outstanding amount of \$64,850 is not allowed. It is our understanding these funds are for the remediation of the property listed as Property No. 19 on the Long-Range Property Management Plan (LRPMP), approved by Finance on October 15, 2015, and authorized to be transferred to the City of San Diego (City) for governmental use. Pursuant to HSC 34191.5 (b), the approved LRPMP shall govern and supersede all other provisions relating to the use of real property assets. Therefore, this property should be transferred to the City in an 'as is' condition. Further, there is no current enforceable obligation, as outlined under HSC 34171(d) (1), that requires any funding for remediation. Therefore, the requested amount of \$64,850 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funds is not allowed.
- Item No. 650 North Park University Avenue (Woolworth Building) Developer's Deposit in the total outstanding amount of \$18,000 in Other Funds is partially allowed. The Agency requests funding in order to return the good faith deposit back to the North Park Gateway, LLC. However, the Agency's accounting records show an amount of \$17,332 being held by the Agency. Therefore, the excess \$668 (\$18,000 \$17,332) in Other Funds is not available for transfer.
- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$119,619.
 HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$2,473,576 for fiscal year 2020-21.

Although \$2,353,954 is claimed for ACA, Item No. 275 in the requested amount of \$119,622 is considered an administrative cost and should be counted toward the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$119,619 in excess ACA is not allowed:

Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) Calculation	
Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2019-20	\$81,192,747
Less distributed Administrative RPTTF	(2,727,530)
RPTTF distributed for 2019-20 after adjustments	\$78,465,217
ACA Cap for 2020-21 per HSC section 34171 (b)	\$2,353,957
ACA requested for 2020-21 after adjustments	2,353,954
Plus amount reclassified to ACA	119,622
Total ACA	\$2,473,576
ACA in Excess of the Cap	\$119,619

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 (ROPS 17-18) period. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the prior period adjustment (PPA) resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$58,359,299, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 20-21 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 20-21. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 20-21, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet And Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Andrew T. Phillips April 15, 2020 Page 3

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 20-21. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deemed denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 20-21 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 20-21 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Mindy Patterson, Supervisor, or Zuber Tejani, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Erik Caldwell, Deputy Chief Operating Officer, City of San Diego Jon Baker, Senior Auditor and Controller Manager, San Diego County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2020 through June 2021			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	ROPS 20-21 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 10,692,491	\$ 49,406,004	\$ 60,098,495
Administrative RPTTF Requested	1,176,977	1,176,977	2,353,954
Total RPTTF Requested	11,869,468	50,582,981	62,452,449
RPTTF Requested	10,692,491	49,406,004	60,098,495
Adjustment(s)			
Item No. 208	(64,850)	0	(64,850)
Item No. 275	(119,622)	0	(119,622)
	(184,472)	0	(184,472)
RPTTF Authorized	10,508,019	49,406,004	59,914,023
Administrative RPTTF Requested	1,176,977	1,176,977	2,353,954
Adjustment(s)			
Item No. 275	119,622	0	119,622
Adjusted Administrative RPTTF	1,296,599	1,176,977	2,473,576
Excess Administrative Costs	0	(119,619)	(119,619)
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	1,296,599	1,057,358	2,353,957
ROPS 17-18 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(3,908,681)	0	(3,908,681)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 7,895,937	\$ 50,463,362	\$ 58,359,299