



Transmitted via e-mail

April 13, 2020

Thomas Prill, Deputy City Manager
City of San Jacinto
595 South San Jacinto Avenue
San Jacinto, CA 92583

2020-21 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of San Jacinto Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2020. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 20-21.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- The claimed administrative costs exceed the allowance by \$28,877. HSC section 34171 (b) (3) limits the fiscal year Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) to three percent of actual Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) distributed in the preceding fiscal year or \$250,000, whichever is greater; not to exceed 50 percent of the RPTTF distributed in the preceding fiscal year. As a result, the Agency's maximum ACA is \$71,123 for fiscal year 2020-21. Although \$100,000 is claimed for ACA, only \$71,123 is available pursuant to the cap. Therefore, as noted in the table below, \$28,877 in excess ACA is not allowed:

| Administrative Cost Allowance (ACA) Calculation | |
|--|-------------------|
| Actual RPTTF distributed for fiscal year 2019-20 | \$220,974 |
| Less distributed Administrative RPTTF | (78,729) |
| RPTTF distributed for 2019-20 after adjustments | \$142,245 |
| ACA Cap for 2020-21 per HSC section 34171 (b) | \$71,123 |
| Total ACA requested for 2020-21 | \$100,000 |
| ACA in Excess of the Cap | \$(28,877) |

The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

- On the ROPS 20-21 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 (ROPS 17-18). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$5,431 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 20-21. HSC section 34177 (l) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funds. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues; therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
 - Item No. 1 – 2005 Tax Allocation Bonds in the amount of \$577,740 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$572,309 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$5,431, totaling \$577,740.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 17-18 period. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the prior period adjustment (PPA) resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$588,678, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 20-21 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the items adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 20-21. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 20-21, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 20-21. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deemed denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 20-21 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 20-21 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Mathew Rios, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Michelle Holmes, Finance Director, City of San Jacinto
Pam Elias, Chief Accountant Property Tax Division, Riverside County

| Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2020 through June 2021 | | | |
|--|-------------------|-------------------|-------------------------|
| | ROPS A | ROPS B | ROPS 20-21 Total |
| RPTTF Requested | \$ 444,245 | \$ 135,495 | \$ 579,740 |
| Administrative RPTTF Requested | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Total RPTTF Requested | 494,245 | 185,495 | 679,740 |
| RPTTF Requested | 444,245 | 135,495 | 579,740 |
| <u>Adjustment</u> | | | |
| Item No. 1 | (5,431) | 0 | (5,431) |
| RPTTF Authorized | 438,814 | 135,495 | 574,309 |
| Administrative RPTTF Requested | 50,000 | 50,000 | 100,000 |
| Excess Administrative Costs | 0 | (28,877) | (28,877) |
| Administrative RPTTF Authorized | 50,000 | 21,123 | 71,123 |
| ROPS 17-18 prior period adjustment (PPA) | (56,754) | 0 | (56,754) |
| Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution | \$ 432,060 | \$ 156,618 | \$ 588,678 |