

GAVIN NEWSOM GOVERNOR 915 L STREET & SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-3706 # WWW.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

April 14, 2020

Hannah Chung, Finance Director City of Tehachapi 115 South Robinson Street Tehachapi, CA 93561

2020-21 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Tehachapi Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 28, 2020. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 20-21.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

Item Nos. 20 and 21 - 2017A Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds and 2017B Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds debt service payments. The Agency requested \$389,363 and \$400,913, respectively, from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) in error. The Agency received \$587,743 in bond reserves during the July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20) for the payments due in the July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 period (ROPS 20-21 A period). Therefore, these funds should be used for debt service payments prior to requesting RPTTF. As a result, the RPTTF requested for Item Nos. 20 and 21 has been reduced by \$313,127 and \$274,616, respectively, and the use of Reserve Balances has been increased by the same amounts for the ROPS A period. See the table below for details.

ltem No.	Item Name	Requested RPTTF	Approved Reserves	Approved RPTTF	Total Authorized
20	2017A Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds	\$389,363	\$313,127	\$76,236	\$389,363
21	2017B Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds	400,913	274,616	126,297	400,913
	Total	\$790,276	\$587,743	\$202,533	\$790,276

Hannah Chung April 14, 2020 Page 2

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 (ROPS 17-18) period. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the prior period adjustment (PPA) resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$516,574, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the ROPS 20-21A period, and one distribution for the January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021 period (ROPS 20-21B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 20-21 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the item adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 20-21. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 20-21, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 20-21. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deemed denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 20-21 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 20-21 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

Hannah Chung April 14, 2020 Page 3

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Mindy Patterson, Supervisor, or Eric Hamilton, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Daisy Wee, Accounting Officer, City of Tehachapi Mary B. Bedard, Auditor-Controller, Kern County

Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2020 through June 2021										
	ROPS A		ROPS B		ROPS 20-21 Total					
RPTTF Requested	\$	631,943	\$	796,195	\$ 1,428,138	3				
Administrative RPTTF Requested		125,000		125,000	250,000)				
Total RPTTF Requested		756,943		921,195	1,678,138	3				
RPTTF Requested		631,943		796,195	1,428,138	3				
Adjustment(s)										
Item No. 20		313,127)		0	(313,127)				
Item No. 21		274,616)		0	(274,616)				
	(5	587,743)		0	(587,743)				
RPTTF Authorized		44,200		796,195	840,395	5				
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		125,000		125,000	250,000)				
ROPS 17-18 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(1	169,200)	(404,621)	(573,821)				
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution		0	\$	516,574	\$ 516,574	1				