

GAVIN NEWSOM GOVERNOR 915 L STREET & SACRAMENTO CA # 95814-3706 # WWW.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

April 13, 2020

Tom Weiner, Community Development Director City of Walnut PO Box 682 Walnut, CA 91788-0682

## 2020-21 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Walnut Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period of July 1, 2020 through June 30, 2021 (ROPS 20-21) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on January 31, 2020. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 20-21.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determination:

Item No. 14 – City Reimbursement Loan in the total outstanding amount of \$635,568 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. Pursuant to HSC section 34191.4 (b), loan agreements between the former Redevelopment Agency (RDA) and sponsoring entity may be placed on the ROPS if the following requirements are met: (1) the Agency has received a Finding of Completion; and (2) the Agency's Oversight Board (OB) approves the loan as an enforceable obligation by finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes.

The Agency received a Finding of Completion on June 12, 2013. However, OB Resolution No. OB 2020-01, approving a Reimbursement Agreement between the City of Walnut and the former RDA in the amount of \$635,568 as an enforceable obligation and finding the loan was for legitimate redevelopment purposes, was denied in our determination letter dated March 16, 2020. An exchange of moneys between the City and the former RDA could not be substantiated and no loan agreement was provided. Therefore, the requested amount of \$635,568 is not eligible for Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) funding.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2017 through June 30, 2018 (ROPS 17-18) period. Reported differences in RPTTF are used to offset current RPTTF distributions. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the prior period adjustment (PPA) resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

Tom Weiner April 13, 2020 Page 2

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$0, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2020 through December 31, 2020 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2021 through June 30, 2021 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 20-21 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the item adjusted, Finance is not objecting to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 20-21. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 20-21, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days of the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet\_And\_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 20-21. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a denial by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to be deemed denied until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 20-21 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

## http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 20-21 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to review and may be denied even if not denied on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Tom Weiner April 13, 2020 Page 3

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Michael Painter, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Cheryl Murase, HdL-Fiscal Consultant, City of Walnut Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

## Attachment

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2020 through June 2021					
		ROPS A	ROPS	3	ROPS 20-21 Total
RPTTF Requested	\$	635,568	\$	0	\$ 635,568
Administrative RPTTF Requested		0		0	0
Total RPTTF Requested		635,568		0	635,568
RPTTF Requested		635,568		0	635,568
Adjustment(s)					
Item No. 14		(635,568)		0	(635,568)
RPTTF Authorized		0		0	0
Administrative RPTTF Authorized		0		0	0
ROPS 17-18 prior period adjustment (PPA)		(531)		0	(531)
Excess PPA		531		0	531
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$	0	\$	0	\$0