



Transmitted via e-mail

April 7, 2021

Lisa Fall, Administrative and Finance Bureau Manager  
City of Long Beach  
333 West Ocean Boulevard, 3rd Floor  
Long Beach, CA 90802

**2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule**

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Long Beach Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 1, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item Nos. 83, 112, 231, 232, and 233 – Various Tax Allocation Bonds debt service payments funded with Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Funds (RPTTF) and Other Funds have been adjusted. It is our understanding the Agency requested incorrect amounts of RPTTF and Other Funds for the January through June (ROPS 21-22B) period. To accurately reflect the correct debt service payments required for the ROPS 21-22B period, the debt service requested from RPTTF and Other Funds has been adjusted in the amounts specified below:

Item	Name	Funding Source	Funding Requested	Adjusted	Funding Approved
83	2010 Tax Allocation Bonds	RPTTF	\$1,076,213	(\$30,332)	\$1,045,881
112	2002 Tax Allocation Bonds	Other Funds	973,118	(273,286)	699,832
231	2002 Tax Allocation Bonds Prefunding	RPTTF	11,018,117	(363,285)	10,654,832
232	2010 Tax Allocation Bonds Prefunding	RPTTF	1,901,212	9,669	1,910,881
233	2015 Tax Allocation Bonds Prefunding	RPTTF	7,716,650	737,349	8,453,999

- Item No. 202 – North Library Utility Negotiations in the amount of \$150,000 is no longer necessary. According to the Agency, negotiations are no longer necessary for undergrounding the utilities in the vicinity of the North Library. Therefore, the requested amount of \$150,000 in Other Funds is not allowed. With concurrence from the Agency, this line item will be retired.
- Item No. 233 – 2015 Tax Allocation Bond Prefunding in the amount of \$8,483,999 is partially reclassified. According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$423,286 (\$273,286 + \$150,000) from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 21-22, as these funds are not needed for Item Nos. 112 and 202. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$8,030,713 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$423,286, totaling \$8,453,999.

- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$23,014,152, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

[http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet\\_And\\_Confer/](http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/)

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Kylie Oltmann, Supervisor, or Michael Painter, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER  
Program Budget Manager

cc: Oscar Orci, Director of Development Services, City of Long Beach  
Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

<b>Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022</b>			
	<b>ROPS A</b>	<b>ROPS B</b>	<b>Total</b>
RPTTF Requested	\$ 0	\$ 24,416,190	\$ 24,416,190
Administrative RPTTF Requested	313,567	313,568	627,135
<b>Total RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>313,567</b>	<b>24,729,758</b>	<b>25,043,325</b>
<b>RPTTF Requested</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,416,190</b>	<b>24,416,190</b>
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 83	0	(30,332)	(30,332)
Item No. 231	0	(363,285)	(363,285)
Item No. 232	0	9,669	9,669
Item No. 233*	0	314,063	314,063
	0	(69,885)	(69,885)
<b>RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>0</b>	<b>24,346,305</b>	<b>24,346,305</b>
<b>Administrative RPTTF Authorized</b>	<b>313,567</b>	<b>313,568</b>	<b>627,135</b>
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(313,567)	(1,645,721)	(1,959,288)
<b>Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution</b>	<b>\$ 0</b>	<b>\$ 23,014,152</b>	<b>\$ 23,014,152</b>

The figure indicates a net adjustment of \$314,063 (\$737,349 - \$423,286).