



Transmitted via e-mail

April 9, 2021

Luke Watson, Director of Community Development
City of Temecula
41000 Main Street
Temecula, CA 92589

2021-22 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Temecula Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule for the period July 1, 2021 through June 30, 2022 (ROPS 21-22) to the California Department of Finance (Finance) on February 4, 2021. Finance has completed its review of the ROPS 21-22.

Based on a sample of line items reviewed and application of the law, Finance made the following determinations:

- Item No. 22 – Unfunded Obligations in the total outstanding amount of \$63,370,025 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. The Agency inadvertently requested \$3,565,150 in Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPPTF) funding that was intended for Item No. 31 - Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds Series 2017A. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, Finance increased the requested amount for Item No. 31 - Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds Series 2017A from \$0 to \$3,565,150 to accurately reflect the required debt service amount due.
- Item No. 23 – Unfunded Obligations in the total outstanding amount of \$40,863,007 is not allowed. Finance continues to deny this item. The agency inadvertently requested \$2,083,195 RPTTF that was intended for Item No. 32 - Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds Series 2017B. Therefore, with the Agency's concurrence, Finance increased the requested amount for Item No. 32 - Tax Allocation Refunding Bonds Series 2017B from \$0 to \$2,083,195 to accurately reflect the required debt service amount due.
- The administrative costs claimed are within the fiscal year administrative cap pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). However, Finance notes the Oversight Board (OB) has approved an amount that appears excessive, given the number and nature of the obligations listed on the ROPS. HSC section 34179 (i) requires the OB to exercise a fiduciary duty to the taxing entities. Therefore, Finance encourages the OB to apply adequate oversight when evaluating the administrative resources necessary to successfully wind-down the Agency.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the July 1, 2018 through June 30, 2019 (ROPS 18-19) period. The ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 21-22 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

Based on our review of the prior period adjustment, Finance noted the Agency misspent a portion of excess funds. Specifically, the Agency spent more than what was authorized for the Administrative Cost Allowance for the ROPS 18-19 period. Finance authorized \$250,000 pursuant to the cap; yet a total of \$380,743 was reported. This is \$130,743 in excess of the maximum amount allowed pursuant to HSC section 34171 (b) (3). Further, pursuant to HSC section 34177 (a) (3), only those payments listed on a ROPS may be made by the Agency from the funds and source specified on the ROPS, up to the amount authorized by Finance. Finance reminds the Agency that funds in excess of the amounts authorized on the ROPS cannot be expended. Any excess funds must be retained and expended once the Agency receives approval for their use on future ROPS.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$7,007,284, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the July 1, 2021 through December 31, 2021 period (ROPS A period), and one distribution for the January 1, 2022 through June 30, 2022 period (ROPS B period), based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 21-22 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

Except for the adjusted items, Finance does not object to the remaining items listed on the ROPS 21-22. If the Agency disagrees with our determination with respect to any items on the ROPS 21-22, except items which are the subject of litigation disputing our previous or related determinations, the Agency may request a Meet and Confer within five business days from the date of this letter. The Meet and Confer process and guidelines are available on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/Meet_And_Confer/

The Agency must use the RAD App to complete and submit its Meet and Confer request form.

Absent a Meet and Confer, this is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 21-22. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 21-22 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

<http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/>

This determination is effective for the ROPS 21-22 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Todd Vermillion, Supervisor, or Mark-Anthony Lacy, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER
Program Budget Manager

cc: Rudy Graciano, Revenue Manager, City of Temecula
Pam Elias, Chief Accountant, Property Tax Division, Riverside County

Approved RPTTF Distribution July 2021 through June 2022			
	ROPS A	ROPS B	Total
RPTTF Requested	\$ 3,572,841	\$ 3,296,504	\$ 6,869,345
Administrative RPTTF Requested	125,000	125,000	250,000
Total RPTTF Requested	3,697,841	3,421,504	7,119,345
RPTTF Requested	3,572,841	3,296,504	6,869,345
<u>Adjustment(s)</u>			
Item No. 22	(1,781,275)	(1,783,875)	(3,565,150)
Item No. 23	(1,040,566)	(1,042,629)	(2,083,195)
Item No. 31	1,781,275	1,783,875	3,565,150
Item No. 32	1,040,566	1,042,629	2,083,195
	0	0	0
RPTTF Authorized	3,572,841	3,296,504	6,869,345
Administrative RPTTF Authorized	125,000	125,000	250,000
ROPS 18-19 prior period adjustment (PPA)	(112,061)	0	(112,061)
Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution	\$ 3,585,780	\$ 3,421,504	\$ 7,007,284