

## Gavin Newsom - Governor

915 L Street 
Sacramento CA 
95814-3706 
www.dof.ca.gov

Transmitted via e-mail

May 17, 2022

J. Reginald Smith, Budget Officer City of Compton 205 South Willowbrook Avenue Compton, CA 90220

## 2022-23 Annual Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule

This letter supersedes the California Department of Finance's (Finance) Recognized Obligation Payment Schedule (ROPS) letter dated April 14, 2022. Pursuant to Health and Safety Code (HSC) section 34177 (o) (1), the City of Compton Successor Agency (Agency) submitted an annual ROPS for the period of July 1, 2022 through June 30, 2023 (ROPS 22-23) to Finance on January 31, 2022. The Agency requested a Meet and Confer on one or more of the determinations made by Finance. The Meet and Confer was held on May 3, 2022.

During the Meet and Confer meeting, the disputed items were discussed. However, after the meeting no additional information or documentation was submitted by the Agency, so no additional review was possible. Therefore, the original determinations remain as follows:

- Item No. 2 Tax Allocation Series 2010 A Bonds debt service. The Agency requested \$2,590,125 from Redevelopment Property Tax Trust Fund (RPTTF) in error. The Agency requested \$500,062 for the January 1, 2023 through June 30, 2023 period (ROPS B period). According to the debt service schedule provided by the Agency, the amount requested for the ROPS B period should be \$460,312. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payment, Finance made an adjustment in the amount of \$39,750 (\$500,062 \$460,312) to decrease the total requested amount of \$2,590,125 to \$2,550,375.
- Item No. 4 Tax Allocation Series 2010 C Bonds debt service. The Agency requested \$2,506,939 from RPTTF in error. The Agency requested \$2,005,000 for the July 1, 2022 through December 31, 2022 period (ROPS A period) and \$501,939 for the ROPS B period. According to the debt service schedule provided by the Agency, the amount requested for the ROPS A period should be \$2,255,970 and the amount requested for the ROPS B period should be \$173,376. Therefore, to accurately reflect the correct debt service payments, Finance made a net adjustment in the amount of \$77,593 (an increase of \$250,970 for the ROPS A period and a decrease of \$328,563 for the ROPS B period) to decrease the total requested amount of \$2,506,939 to \$2,429,346.

J. Reginald Smith May 17, 2022 Page 2

- Item No. 25 Agency Owned Properties in the total outstanding amount of \$80,000 is partially allowed. The Agency was only able to provide details and contract support for appraisal costs of \$52,800. Therefore, Finance made an adjustment of \$27,200 to decrease the total requested amount of \$80,000 to \$52,800. To the extent the Agency can provide suitable documentation, such as additional executed contracts or vendor invoices to support the total requested amount, the difference may be considered on a future ROPS.
- Item No. 26 Agency Owned Properties in the total outstanding amount of \$400,000 is partially allowed. The Agency was only able to provide details and support for property maintenance costs of \$153,070. Therefore, Finance made an adjustment of \$246,930 to decrease the total requested amount of \$400,000 to \$153,070. To the extent the Agency can provide suitable documentation, such as additional executed contracts or vendor invoices to support the total requested amount, the difference may be considered on a future ROPS.
- Item No. 31 Agency Owned Properties in the total outstanding amount of \$225,000 is not allowed. The Agency did not provide documentation to support the remediation of Agency-owned property as an enforceable obligation. Therefore, this item is not an enforceable obligation and the requested amount of \$225,000 is not allowed from RPTTF. To the extent the Agency can provide suitable documentation, such as notice of government-mandated remediation to support the requested amount, the item may be considered on a future ROPS.
- On the ROPS 22-23 form, the Agency reported cash balances and activity for the period July 1, 2019 through June 30, 2020 (ROPS 19-20). According to our review, the Agency has approximately \$993,163 from Other Funds available to fund enforceable obligations on the ROPS 22-23. HSC section 34177 (I) (1) (E) requires these balances to be used prior to requesting RPTTF funding. This item does not require payment from property tax revenues. Therefore, the funding source for the following item has been reclassified in the amount specified below:
  - Item No. 2 Tax Allocation Series 2010 A Bonds in the amount of \$2,550,375 is partially reclassified. Finance is approving RPTTF in the amount of \$1,557,212 and the use of Other Funds in the amount of \$993,163, totaling \$2,550,375.

Pursuant to HSC section 34186, successor agencies are required to report differences between actual payments and past estimated obligations (prior period adjustments) for the ROPS 19-20 period. The ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA) will offset the ROPS 22-23 RPTTF distribution. The amount of RPTTF authorized includes the PPA resulting from the County Auditor-Controller's review of the PPA form submitted by the Agency.

The Agency's maximum approved RPTTF distribution for the reporting period is \$13,312,765, as summarized in the Approved RPTTF Distribution table (see Attachment).

RPTTF distributions occur biannually, one distribution for the ROPS A period, and one distribution for the ROPS B period, based on Finance's approved amounts. Since this determination is for the entire ROPS 22-23 period, the Agency is authorized to receive up to the maximum approved RPTTF through the combined ROPS A and B period distributions.

J. Reginald Smith May 17, 2022 Page 3

Except for the adjusted items, Finance approves the remaining items listed on the ROPS 22-23 at this time.

This is our final determination regarding the obligations listed on the ROPS 22-23. This determination only applies to items when funding was requested for the 12-month period. If a determination by Finance in a previous ROPS is currently the subject of litigation, the item will continue to reflect the determination until the matter is resolved.

The ROPS 22-23 form submitted by the Agency and this determination letter will be posted on our website:

http://dof.ca.gov/Programs/Redevelopment/ROPS/

This determination is effective for the ROPS 22-23 period only and should not be conclusively relied upon for future ROPS periods. All items listed on a future ROPS are subject to Finance's review and may be adjusted even if not adjusted on this ROPS or a preceding ROPS. The only exception is for items that have received a Final and Conclusive determination from Finance pursuant to HSC section 34177.5 (i). Finance's review of Final and Conclusive items is limited to confirming the scheduled payments as required by the obligation.

The amount available from the RPTTF is the same as the amount of property tax increment available prior to the enactment of the redevelopment dissolution law. Therefore, as a practical matter, the ability to fund the items on the ROPS with property tax increment is limited to the amount of funding available to the Agency in the RPTTF.

Please direct inquiries to Zuber Tejani, Supervisor, or Michael Barr, Staff, at (916) 322-2985.

Sincerely,

Original signed by Cheryl L. McCormick for:

JENNIFER WHITAKER Program Budget Manager

cc: Thomas Thomas, City Manager, City of Compton Kristina Burns, Manager, Department of Auditor-Controller, Los Angeles County

## Attachment

| Approved RPTTF Distribution<br>July 2022 through June 2023 |              |              |               |
|--|--------------|--------------|---------------|
|  | ROPS A       | ROPS B       | Total         |
| RPTTF Requested  | \$ 6,040,287 | \$ 8,522,225 | \$ 14,562,512 |
| Administrative RPTTF Requested                             | 408,177      | 0            | 408,177       |
| Total RPTTF Requested                                      | 6,448,464    | 8,522,225    | 14,970,689    |
| RPTTF Requested  | 6,040,287    | 8,522,225    | 14,562,512    |
| <u>Adjustment(s)</u>                                       |              |              |               |
| Item No. 2   | (993,163)    | (39,750)     | (1,032,913)   |
| Item No. 4   | 250,970      | (328,563)    | (77,593)      |
| Item No. 25  | 0            | (27,200)     | (27,200)      |
| Item No. 26  | (46,930)     | (200,000)    | (246,930)     |
| Item No. 31  | (225,000)    | 0            | (225,000)     |
|  | (1,014,123)  | (595,513)    | (1,609,636)   |
| RPTTF Authorized   | 5,026,164    | 7,926,712    | 12,952,876    |
| Administrative RPTTF Authorized                            | 408,177      | 0            | 408,177       |
| ROPS 19-20 prior period adjustment (PPA)                   | (48,288)     | 0            | (48,288)      |
| Total RPTTF Approved for Distribution                      | \$ 5,386,053 | \$ 7,926,712 | \$ 13,312,765 |